RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

PAGE 04/08

MAR 1 5 2006

Doc Code: AP.PRE.REQ

PTO/SE/33 (07-05)
Approved for use through xxxxx/200x, OMB 0851-00xx
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
a collection of information using it float in the control of the commence of the control of the cont

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respon	nd to a collection	of Information unless	; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE it displays a valid OMB control number	
PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW		Docket Number (Ontions)		
		ARC920030058US1		
I hereby certify that is correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to 571-273-8300 [37 CFR 1.8(a)]	Application Number		Filed	
	10/720,962		Nov. 24, 2003	
on March 15, 2008	First Named Inventor Stuart Stephen Papworth PARKIN			
Signature				
Typed or printed Joseph P. Curtin, Reg. No. 34 571	Art Unit Examiner			
Typed or printed Joseph P. Curtin, Reg. No. 34,571	2815		J. Nguyen	
Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-in with this request. This request is being filled with a notice of appeal. The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attack.				
Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided. I am the applicant/inventor, assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTC/SB/98)		Josep	ignature h P. Curtin r printed name	
attorney or agent of record. Registration number 34,571		503-	296-8373	
Registration number		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	one number	
atternay or agent acting under 37 CFR 1,34.		March	15, 2006	
Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34	Date Date			
NOTE; Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required. Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below. "Total of forms are submitted,				

This collection of information is required by 25 U.S.C. 122. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1,11, 1,14 and 41,6. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will very depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the CNoT information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Peparimont of Commerce, R.O. Box 1460, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS, SEND TO: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1460, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-600-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 1 5 2006

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re PATENT APPLICATION of

Stuart	Stephen Papworth PARKIN et al.)		
Scrial No.:	10/720,962	{	Art Unit:	2815
Filed:	November 24, 2003	{	Examiner:	J.H. Nguyen
For:	MAGNETIC TUNNEL JUNCTIONS WITH IMPROVED TUNNELING MAGNETO- RESISTANCE)))	Atty Dkt:	ARC920030058US1

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW

MAIL STOP AF
Commissioner For Patents

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia, 22313-1450

Sir:

THE EXAMINER HAS NOT SHOWN PROPER MOTIVATION FOR COMBINING ODAGAWA AND A.R. FERCHMIN

Applicants respectfully submit that the Examiner has not shown a proper motivation for combining U.S. Patent No. 6,436,526 to Odagawa et al. (Odagawa) and A.R. Ferchmin et al. (Ferchmin), disclosed on page 13, lines 3-7, of the instant patent application for the following reasons:

- (1) Claim 1 requires "a first layer formed from an amorphous material".
- (2) The Examiner asserts that film 210 disclosed by Odagawa corresponds to the "first layer" of claim 1. (See final Office Action dated 12/15/2005, page 2, lines 13-14.)
 - 1 Application Serial No. 10/720,962

- (3) The Examiner asserts that film 210 of Odagawa "is formed of CoFeB (col. 22, lines 25-26) but does not teach the atomic percent of B is added to CoFe to make this alloy amorphous." (See final Office Action dated 12/15/2005, page 2, line 23, through page 3, line 1.)
- (4) The Examiner further asserts that "A.R. Ferchmin et al. teaches [sic] crystalline Co-Fe becomes amorphous when B is added to this alloy in the range of 10-25 percent (page 13, lines 3-7 of the instant application)." (See final Office Action dated 12/15/2005, page 3, lines 1-3.)
- (5) The Examiner concludes that it would be obvious "to modify Odagawa et al. by adding B in the range of 10-25 atomic percent to Co-Fe to make this alloy amorphous" (See final Office Action dated 12/15/2006, page 3, lines 4-7.)
- (6) Applicants respectfully submit that for the Examiner's conclusion to properly follow from the major and minor premises (i.e., paragraphs 3 and 4, respectively) proffered by the Examiner, either
- (a) Odagawa film 210 must be crystalline Co-Fe so that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would be motivated to change the crystalline structure to an amorphous structure as disclosed by Ferchmin, or
- (b) Odagawa film 210 must have magnetic and magneto-transport properties that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have a motivation to modify in a manner disclosed by Ferchmin.

The Premise That Odagawa Film 210 Is Crystalline Is Without Basis

- (7) Odagawa discloses nothing regarding the lattice structure, i.e., the crystalline structure, of film 210.
 - (8) Further, the Examiner has not demonstrated that film 210 is crystalline.
- (9) Accordingly, if the major premise of the Examiner's syllogism is that Odagawa film 210 is crystalline Co-Fe, the major premise of the Examiner's syllogism is without basis.
- (10) Consequently, Applicants respectfully submit that the Examiner's conclusion to the syllogism, that is, "to modify Odagawa et al. by adding B in the range of 10-25 atomic
 - 2 Application Serial No. 10/720,962

percent to Co-Fe to make this alloy amorphous," does not logically follow and, therefore, cannot be based on the major premise that Odagawa film 210 is crystalline Co-Fe. (See arguments in Response to Final Rejection dated 02/13/2006, page 10, line 17, through page 11, line 4.)

The Premise That Odagawa Film 210 Must Have Magnetic And Magneto-Transport Properties Is Without Basis

- (11) Odagawa discloses film 210 is a <u>non-magnetic</u> film 210 formed of CoFeB. (See Odagawa, column 22, lines 20-21 and 24-25.)
- (12) Ferchmin relates to increasing the TMR (Tunneling Magneto-Resistance) of a CoFeB alloy having magnetic and magneto-transport properties. (See instant patent application, page 13, paragraph 38.)
- (13) Ferchmin discloses nothing regarding increasing the TMR of a <u>non-magnetic</u> CoFeB alloy.
- (14) Accordingly, if the major premise of the Examiner's syllogism is that Odagawa film 210 has magnetic and magneto-transport properties, the major premise of the Examiner's syllogism is without basis.
- (15) Consequently, Applicants respectfully submit that the Examiner's conclusion to the syllogism, that is, "to modify Odagawa et al. by adding B in the range of 10-25 atomic percent to Co-Fe to make this alloy amorphous," does not logically follow and, therefore, cannot be based on the major premise that Odagawa film 210 must have magnetic and magnetotransport properties that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have a motivation to modify in a manner disclosed by Ferchmin. (See arguments in Response to Final Rejection dated 02/13/2006, page 10, line 17, through page 11, line 4.)

The Examiner's Reply Argument In The Advisory Action Is Without Basis

- (16) The Examiner responds to Applicants arguments by asserting that because "Odagawa et al. and Ferchmin et al. both disclose CoFB [sic] alloy and Ferchmin et al. teaches [sic] it is well known that crystalline CoFe alloy becomes amorphous when B is added to this
 - 3 Application Serial No. 10/720,962

alloy in the range of 10-25 atomic percent." (See Advisory Action dated 02/23/2006, page 3, lines 4-6.)

- (17) Applicants respectfully submit that the Examiner has still not demonstrated that Odagawa film 210 is crystalline and, consequently, the Examiner's proffered motivation for combining Odagawa and Ferchmin is still without basis.
- (18) While the Examiner also asserts that "TMJ depends on the B and the Co-Fe composition of the alloy" (see Advisory Action dated 02/23/2006, page 3, line 6), the Examiner is ignoring that it is the amount of boron in Odagawa film 210 makes film 210 non-magnetic. In this regard, the Examiner has not explained why one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would be motivated to modify the composition of non-magnetic film 210 to become a magnetic film 210 having magnetic and magneto-transport properties.
- (19) Thus, the Examiner's reply argument in the Advisory Action dated 02/23/2006 is without basis.

CONCLUSION

(20) Consequently, Applicants respectfully submit that the Examiner has not shown a proper motivation for combining Odagawa and A.R. Ferchmin.

It is requested that this application be passed to issue with claims 1-35.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 15, 2006

Joseph P. Curtin

Registration No. 34,571

1469 N.W. Morgan Lane

Portland, Oregon 97229-5291

(503) 296-8373

(503) 297-0452 (facsimile)

- 4 - Application Serial No. 10/720,962